Consolidation beneficial

Viewpoint

The immediate Plattsburgh area has many problems in common, including a land use and zoning problem. The city of Plattsburgh and towns of Beekmantown, Plattsburgh and Schuyler Falls could benefit greatly by taking a regional approach to its common problems.

As the situation stands today, each of the governing bodies in the municipalities is an individual, separate approach to problems common to us all.

It would be far more economical and feasible for the four to do it together in a common effort. The more they work together, the more efficient they can be.

The city and town ought to form an informal council of governments to discuss the mutual problems, such as a sanitary landfill, and find solutions that would be more efficient than if they were left to the individual municipality to create or improve the service and to finance it.

In many respects Clinton County community really needs to solve a problem to a problem they can no longer face alone.

To the Editor

Sostre trial on injustice

To the Editor: The guilty verdict was a surprise, but not a surprise that anyone could have realized, given the evidence presented during the entire 12 days of the trial.

The so-called "valid" evidence consisted of the confession of one man, and the testimony of two others who had no reason to testify other than the desire to be received as "good" witnesses, resulting in the expected not guilty verdict.

The guilty verdict is supported by the facts of the case.

Sostre was on a mission to the Dominican Republic. In the Dominican Republic, he was on a mission to "assist" the people of the Dominican Republic.

The consequence was the murder of two people.

The case was not just about what happened in the Dominican Republic, it was about what happened in the United States. The United States has no right to interfere in the internal affairs of another country.

The case was not just about Sostre.

It was about the right of all people to enjoy the same rights as those enjoyed by the people of the United States. The right to言论自由, the right to assembly, the right to worship, the right to participate in the political process, the right to be free from discrimination.

Sostre was innocent of murder but was found guilty because he was a member of a labor union. This is an example of a case where the verdict is not supported by the evidence presented.
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